

## Modelling Requirements to Assess the Resilience of The Electricity System as it is Adapted to Deliver Low Carbon Transition: “Dynamic Analysis of Systems with New Equipment, Devices, Control Approaches and Operating Modes”

Jovica V. Milanović  
The University of Manchester  
milanovic@manchester.ac.uk

**Paper 13 of 15, Part 3:** IET Special Interest Publication for the Council for Science and Technology on  
“Modelling Requirements of the GB Power System Resilience during the transition to Low Carbon Energy”



## About this report

---

The Institution of Engineering and Technology was commissioned by the Council of Science and Technology (CST) to research the emerging challenges for modelling electricity systems and how Britain's capabilities would need to be adapted to assess electricity system resilience as GB makes the transition to a low carbon electricity system.

This project commissioned, and received, fifteen individual papers from GB-based specialists of international standing in power system modelling. The authors of the papers worked with a wide stakeholder base of network companies, academics and others, who provided review and challenge. Professor Graham Ault CEng FIET was contracted to provide technical co-ordination and drafting. The emerging conclusions were further validated by means of an industry and academic workshop sponsored by Government Office for Science. The entire project was conducted under the direction of an independent steering committee composed of senior IET Fellows, two of whom were also CST nominees.

### The report is composed of three parts:

- Part 1: Main report
- Part 2: Summary of Commissioned Papers
- Part 3: IET Special Interest Publication – Academic & Industry Papers

All three parts of this report are available from the IET website at:

[www.theiet.org/pnjv](http://www.theiet.org/pnjv)

© The Institution of Engineering and Technology March 2015

## About the IET

---

The IET is working to engineer a better world through our mission to inspire, inform and influence the global engineering community, supporting technology innovation to meet the needs of society. It is the Professional Home for Life® for engineers and technicians, and a trusted source of Essential Engineering Intelligence®. The IET has nearly 160,000 members in 127 countries, with offices in Europe, North America, South Asia and Asia-Pacific.

As engineering and technology become increasingly interdisciplinary, global and inclusive, the Institution of Engineering and Technology reflects that progression and welcomes involvement from, and communication between, all sectors of science, engineering and technology.

The Institution of Engineering and Technology is registered as a Charity in England and Wales (no 211014) and Scotland (no SCO38698)

---

# Modelling Requirements to Assess the Resilience of The Electricity System as it is Adapted to Deliver Low Carbon Transition: “Dynamic Analysis of Systems with New Equipment, Devices, Control Approaches and Operating Modes”

Jovica V. Milanović

The University of Manchester

milanovic@manchester.ac.uk

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION – WHERE ARE WE NOW?

---

From humble beginnings in the 1880's the electric power industry has grown into one of the largest industries. It has done an excellent job of meeting the energy needs of the 20th century and electricity has become a basic necessity in modern society. It has also been recognised that the electric power industry has an adverse impact on natural environment and that there is a need to refocus business in order to meet energy needs of society in a way that is “sustainable” in the long run. The Industry has been undergoing major restructuring over last twenty, twenty five years including shift from monopolistic to competitive structure, following new economic/social/environmental requirements and accommodating new sources/types of electricity generators.

In spite of all these changes the major characteristics of the power systems remain to be: Large, conventional power plants dominate; Monopolistic vertically integrated structure (mostly); Limited (<10%) cross-border power transfers; Limited application of FACTS devices and power electronics (PE) in general; Limited use and integration of ICT (Information and Communication Technology); Preventive control design/actions; Deterministic system studies; Unidirectional power flow; Passive distribution networks; Small or no involvement of customers in network operation and control; Limited exchange of data between neighbouring utilities.

There has been a substantial amount of work over the last few years in the general area of modelling and dynamics studies of future power networks. The overview below focuses on work published over the last five years and predominantly in the UK and Europe.

- Transient stability assessment and control islanding scheme
  - Based on IEEE test network [1]-[4]

- Method that can be used for preventive islanding purposes [5]
- Controlled islanding [6], [7]
- Online Dynamic Security Assessment using Decision Trees [8, 9]
- Data driven method for electromechanical oscillations and voltage stability
  - Iceland power system (Oscillation source location using logic regression, wavelets) [10-13]
  - Nordic System (Finland/Sweden/Norway/Eastern Denmark) [14, 15]
  - Mexican System [16]
  - Ecuadorian Power system (real data) and Northeast Power Co-ordinating Council (NPCC) network (Oscillating modes identification using classification trees) [17]
  - Wind farm oscillations detection using wavelet-based support vector data description [18]
  - Modal Identification of Transient and Ambient Data Oscillations by IEEE Task force [19]
  - Adaptive voltage stability protection [20]
- Estimation using real-time dynamic data
  - State Estimation (IEEE test network) [21] [22]
  - Synchronous machine parameter estimation [23]
  - Inertia estimation using WAMS [24]-[26]
  - Inertia estimation using WAMS in GB network [27]
  - Wind plant Inertia estimation [28]
  - Frequency and power [29]

- GB power systems/Analytical tools
  - GB Electricity Transmission Network [30, 31]
  - GB Wide Area Measurement System [27, 31-34]
  - UK network Modelling [35, 36] PSSE [37] DigSilent [38-40] PSCAD [41, 42]
  - UK network modelling Wind [43] [44] Generation capacity [45] Corrective control method (DigSilent) [46]
  - GB frequency response from EV [47]
  - Operating strategies for gas and electricity considering wind in GB system model [48]
  - Framework for modelling uncertainty in the input data for risk calculations and application in GB system [49]
  - Impact of wind and HVDC on GB system [50]
  - Control thermostatic loads to provide inertia in GB Gone Green 2020 scenario [51]
  - Transient Assistive Measures using HVDC on GB system (DIgSILENT) [52]
  - Offshore wind turbine contribution to frequency stability in real frequency excursions of GB network [53]
  - Transients measurements in GB network (co-author and funding by National Grid) [54]
  - General overview of offshore wind power integration in UK and Europe [55]
  - Frequency stability using dynamic model for aggregated refrigerators in GB [56]
- Modelling of distributed generation for stability study
  - Wind Generation [57]-[62] Danish System (DIgSILENT) [62]
  - Wind generator models for stability studies [63], [63]-[66]
  - Extended Equal Area criterion to assess the impact of offshore Wind Power through HVDC on transient stability [67]
  - HVDC model based on PMU measurements for voltage stability on IEEE 39 bus system [68]
  - Limit Induced Bifurcation using Dynamic wind farm model [69]
  - Reduced order dynamic models of Active Distribution Networks [70]-[73]
  - Battery Energy Storage System dynamic model and control [74], [75]
  - DG clustering [76],
  - DG Modelling Overview [77],
  - Wind Generation clustering [78]
  - Impact of PV on stability [79]-[81]
  - Isolated system with increased wind and hydro (modelling in PSS/E) [82]
  - Representation of external grid for DG stability studies [83]
  - Integration of EVs [84] (Portuguese network), [85]-[86] (Danish Power system)
- WAMS and PMU monitoring data
  - GB [27, 31-34]
  - Mexican System and Finland System [87]
  - Transient faults simulated by DigSilent [88]
  - Voltage Stability
- Modelling and simulation for system dynamics [89]-[92]
  - Oscillation damping [93] (VSC-HVDC Model Predictive Control), [94], [95] (Wind Power plants)
- National Grid Reports
  - 2013 electricity ten year statement [96]
  - UK Future Energy Scenarios [97]
  - Wind Energy in the UK [98]
- Projects and involved organisations in the UK
  - Aims at increasing Sub-synchronous oscillation visibility in the UK network. [99] <https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/ofgem-publications/84811/nicsubmissionforsprtransmission-visor.pdf>
  - Focus on the Distribution system operation. In WS3 the Transform Model was developed to assess the costs and benefits of smart developments of the GB distribution system. [100] [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/285417/Smart\\_Grid\\_Vision\\_and\\_RoutemapFINAL.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/285417/Smart_Grid_Vision_and_RoutemapFINAL.pdf)
  - “Request for Information”, Energy Networks Association. Part of SGF WS7 in association with ENA. Working on technical details on the report “2030 Distribution System” which is also included in the following draft.

Development of models and scenarios based on the transform model. [http://www.smarternetworks.org/Files/Announcement\\_of\\_Opportunity\\_-\\_Exploring\\_the\\_Operation\\_of\\_the\\_GB\\_Distribution\\_Network\\_in\\_2030\\_131125122541.pdf](http://www.smarternetworks.org/Files/Announcement_of_Opportunity_-_Exploring_the_Operation_of_the_GB_Distribution_Network_in_2030_131125122541.pdf)

- Equivalent models for the GB system for future studies. [101] [http://www.theccc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/CCC-Infrastructure\\_TD-Report\\_22-04-2014.pdf](http://www.theccc.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/CCC-Infrastructure_TD-Report_22-04-2014.pdf)
- Working in collaboration with Smart Grid Forum. [102] <http://www.theiet.org/factfiles/energy/pnjv-page.cfm>.
- “GSR016 Application of scaling factors and inclusion of embedded wind in SQSS Chapter 4 studies” and “GSR010 Review of Onshore Entry Criteria”, National Grid. Workgroups working on modifications for DG unit representation requirements.

In spite of noticeable activity in modelling future network scenarios the software tools used are to a large extent conventional (PSCC, DlgSILENT/PowerFactory, EMTDC, IPASA, etc.) and the models used largely limited by software environment. The studies carried out are almost exclusively deterministic with very little attention paid to modelling uncertainties and the test systems used are generic test networks or simplified real network models.

---

## 2. WHERE ARE WE GOING TO BE?

---

It is widely anticipated though that there will be enough public support and sustained political will to build enough renewable generation capacity to produce 50%, or more, of the demand for electrical energy. Many of renewable energy sources (RES) have a low energy density and are therefore distributed. The majority of RES will be connected to the network through power electronics interface. Some of them are intermittent (driven by astronomical factors) and other stochastic (dependent on meteorological conditions). The exploitation of low intensity renewable resources requires large investments that are economically viable only if the utilization of the primary energy is maximized. Intermittent RES thus provides little control and causes much uncertainty in the operation. Because of the intermittency the renewable generation capacity will have to represent a significantly larger fraction of the total installed capacity.

Currently major type (by volume and installed capacity) of renewable generation, large off-shore wind farms, will be most likely, connected to an off-shore sub-marine transmission grid first and then to the shore.



---

Grid connections and off-shore networks could be either AC or HVDC cables. It is envisaged that power electronics will play an increasing role in the grid to facilitate the bulk (cross-border) transfer of power and required network flexibility. This proliferation will be spearheaded principally by HVDC links to assist in stability and power flow control, generator-grid interfaces and increased application of FACTS devices to “soften” existing transmission networks. Because their cost is not likely to decrease significantly FACTS devices will probably remain somewhat auxiliary to the main AC transmission and distribution networks in the immediate future. The HVDC, in particular Variable Source Converter (VSC) controlled HVDC, lines on the other hand, are largely expected to form meshed supergrid on top and in conjunction with, existing AC grid to shift large amount of electrical power over large distances.

Depending on the country and required energy mix to ensure energy security, nuclear plants may represent a significant fraction of the remaining generation capacity. Thermal generating plants burning fossil fuels will continue to provide the balance of the capacity. These plants though may most probably operate under an effective and efficient “cap and trade” mechanism for emissions trading. This will ensure that their operating costs are higher than the cost of producing energy using generation that does not produce green house gases.

In summary the future power systems will be characterised by:

- Much more liberalised market
- Increased cross-border bulk power transfers to facilitate effectiveness of market mechanisms
- Increased use of HVDC lines of both, LCC and, predominantly, VSC technology (in meshed networks and as a super grid)
- Increased presence of static and active shunt and series compensation in AC grids
- Increased deployment of FACTS devices in general
- Large on-shore and off-shore wind farms
- Proliferation of non-conventional renewable generation – largely stochastic and intermittent (wind, PV, marine) at all levels and of various sizes
- Small scale (widely dispersed) technologies in distribution networks
- Active distribution networks with bi-directional energy and information flow

- New types of loads within customer premises (PE, LED)
- Electric vehicles (increasing spatial and temporal uncertainty of the load/generation in the network)
- Integrated “intelligent” PE devices, both at customer and utility premises
- Integrated ICT & storage technologies of different size and at different voltage levels
- Different energy carriers

This new generation and load technology mix may not be able to keep the system in balance if it is operated in the traditional way, i.e. the generation is ramped up and down to follow the load and re-dispatched to resolve transmission constraints. Replacing the current “supply-follows-load” control philosophy by a “load-follows-supply” approach might be considerably cheaper as the heat, cold, energy or material storage that is naturally incorporated in many domestic and industrial appliances and processes can be harnessed to adjust the demand to match the supply. Furthermore, electric and plug-in hybrid vehicles (whose number is growing, although slower than expected) and distributed energy storage, could provide an additional and particularly flexible control resource, though, at the same time may place additional demands on power networks requiring higher network flexibility and operability.

The key characteristics of this future power system will be an unprecedented mix of a wide range of electricity generating technologies, responsive and highly flexible demand/storage with significant temporal and spatial uncertainty, proliferation of power electronics at transmission (HVDC and to certain extent FACTS devices) and distribution (PE interfaced generation and storage technologies, end use customer devices – new types of loads, EV) system level, flexible hierarchical control structure and blurred boundaries between transmission and distribution systems (with distribution system becoming more “transmission like”) and significantly higher reliance on the use of global (Wide Area Monitoring) signals for system identification and control and Information and Communication Technology embedded within the power system network and its components, to facilitate two-way-communication.

---

At distribution network level, a distribution network (not necessarily electricity, could be energy) cell of variable size (from micro grid to larger part of higher voltage level network) manages, controls and protects itself to ensure adequate security, reliability and quality (possibly differential, different customers receiving different contracted quality and reliability of supply) of electricity/energy supply. Cells may exchange power/energy based on real time energy trading using appropriate interfaces (PE or other). Energy trading is based on local energy flow exchange between cells linked by a global ICT network. The network accepts plug & play cells (“modular self configuring design”) some of which may not be internally using AC power. Individual customers will have substantial if not full flexibility to participate, if they wish so, in energy exchange/trading or they might be encouraged to do so through appropriate pricing signals.

All the above features of transmission like and distribution like network (allowing for greater level of “merger” between the two) will have to be fully integrated to ensure that the system as a whole works, and provides support during emergencies to individual cells or groups of distribution/distributed cells and ultimately to the power system as a whole.

---

### 3. MODELLING AND SIMULATION CHALLENGES

---

Key modelling and simulation challenges in order to efficiently and effectively simulate possible operational scenarios of future power networks include (not given in order of priority):

- Modelling for steady state & dynamic (small disturbance and transient) studies
  - Large interconnected networks with mixed generation, FACTS and short/long distance bulk power transfers using HVDC cables and series compensated AC lines operating in parallel.
  - Clear identification of advantages and disadvantages of different levels of detail in modelling of HVDC (different technologies including multi terminal HVDC) and FACTS and recommendation of models to be used in different levels of studies.

**Comment:** While development of these models have already been a subject of many industrial and academic studies, clear recommendation is still missing regarding the level of detail of different models that is absolutely necessary for different types of studies. This is to a certain

extent hampered by the fact that these highly sophisticated technologies are developed by companies who naturally want to protect their IP rights and the sharing of data and information is highly restricted. Classical power system studies (typically performed by electrical power engineers) tend to use simpler models of HVDC and FACTS technology while studies coming from the power electronics area (typically performed by power electronics engineers) focus on very detail modelling of internal circuits of these devices. A “look up table” approach would be a suitable immediate way forward where different types of models could be recommended for different types of power system studies, as well as different size of simulated networks. Analysis to what extent (type of “sensitivity analysis”) the use of “one level more detailed” (higher order) model and “one level less detailed” (lower order) model than the recommended, would affect the results of simulations. It is essential that both small (a few buses) and large system (hundreds of buses) studies are carried out in parallel to facilitate physical understanding of interactions between the two systems and justify proposed choice of models and to illustrate practicality of large system implementation and eventual interactions between different subsystems. These recommended models should be in appropriate form so that they could be easily incorporated in commercially available packages.

- Clusters of RES and storage technologies either of the same or different type considering associated uncertainties. The uncertainties considered should include both temporal and spatial uncertainties. The latter are particularly important as hundreds, if not hundreds of thousands of different devices may need to be represented.

**Comment:** Similar comments as above regarding the recommendations of look up table of suitable models of different technologies for different types of studies as well as applicability to large and small systems. Additionally, the aspects of temporal and spatial uncertainties should be addressed in close collaboration with system operators to restrict the search space when considering uncertainties to feasible regions only. While an attempt should be made to specify best/worst case scenarios when modelling these uncertainties, i.e., to define the bounds of uncertainty, this would not be sufficient to gain a realistic picture about the influence of uncertainties involved as it could lead to either too optimistic or too pessimistic results.

An in-between solution between best/worst scenario studies and full blown uncertainty study with reasonably flexible bounds of uncertainty could be to propose bounds and the most likely/probable scenario(s) and propose relevant models for these few cases. These models would need to be developed and incorporated in commercially available packages.

- Modelling of whole LV and MV distribution network cell (DNC) with thousands of stochastic and intermittent RES which may exhibit temporal and spatial uncertainty.
- Modelling of demand, including new types of energy efficient and PE controlled loads, customer participation and behavioural patterns, EV, etc. Demand modelling as a generic term used here includes forecasting of demand response to network disturbances (not only forecasting of P and Q consumption), i.e., dynamic response of demand to both voltage and frequency disturbances.

**Comment:** The major modelling challenge above is not modelling of individual devices but rather appropriate *aggregation of a very large number of individual devices considering all associated uncertainties* for large system studies. This aggregation should result in equivalent models with recommended parameter values of specified accuracy, i.e., uncertainties in parameter values should be bounded. The *probabilistic models and parameters* may be one option as it has been shown in some studies in the past that very good results could be achieved [78]. As an intermediate step towards full blown probabilistic studies a few characteristic points/scenarios could be considered, e.g., best/worst case scenarios and the most likely/probable scenario(s) to get the initial assessment of the significance of considering parameter uncertainties. These models would need to be developed and incorporated in commercially available packages.

As far as large system studies are considered, following the establishment of appropriate equivalent/aggregate models of different devices, the key issue to address is appropriate modelling of uncertainties involved with generation and load. Again, the effort should be directed towards efficient representation of all uncertainties involved and the consequences of network disturbances on system security and stability. *Probabilistic and risk based methodologies* should be developed and incorporated in commercially available packages for large system studies.





Considering that adoption of *Probabilistic and risk based methodologies* would be a significant departure from current practice and that there is lack of understanding and interpretation of results of these studies, both in industry and academia, a series of continuing professional development courses should be offered to highlight some of the key aspects of these types of mathematical approaches and their suitability to practical problems faced by electrical power industry. Preliminary results [103]-[107] have indicated that this could be a way forward for simulating large networks of the future.

- Efficient use and reliance on global monitoring data (WAMS) for state estimation, dynamic equivalents and control (including, but not limited to, real time control).
  - Optimal placement of monitoring devices (PMUs or other), though this may not be an issue as over the years number of monitors in the network will increase, should be (re)addressed from the point of view of existing monitoring framework. Considering that there are already a number of monitoring devices in the network of varying types, accuracy and functionality, where should new ones be placed to achieve full observability and subsequently controllability of the network?
  - The PMU, or any other monitor placement methodologies should consider accuracy of devices in data capture and accuracy of derived parameters (e.g., voltage phase angle and magnitude are captured at non generator bus in the network but generator speed and angle are needed for on line stability assessment).
  - Signal processing/aggregation/transmission (including delay or complete loss) for dynamic observability, i.e., identifying dynamic response of the system close to real time. Different classification and clustering techniques should be used for fast identification of system dynamic signature following disturbance. The dynamic signature of the power system with non-conventional PE connected generation (power system with reduced inertia) and PE connected load would be particularly challenging to estimate.
  - A time line defining accuracy of the estimation against the speed of estimation should be established for different parameters and dynamic phenomena to be estimated (e.g., the transient stability of the system can be estimated with 99% accuracy 0.5s after the fault with 15 PMUs and with 97% with 5 PMUs; Dynamic behaviour of groups (coherent) of generators can be described with 98% accuracy 0.8 s after the fault; etc.)

**Comment:** In addressing the issues above attention should be paid to required *accuracy of information* that WAMS or other monitors should provide [108]-[111]. Starting from the type of study that the data will be used for, the error margin for parameters to be estimated/identified from measurements should be established and the effort directed towards achieving it. Appropriate sensitivity analysis should be carried out to establish required accuracy of estimated parameters (and the time when these parameters would be required in case of corrective control action) before the issue of parameter estimation is addressed [112]-[114]. While on-line estimation of system dynamic signature of conventional power system is very challenging task on its own, the issue becomes even more challenging when the significant portion of generators are non-conventional (synchronous) and when the system response to disturbances, in short time scale in particular, may be significantly different due to reduced system inertia (generators, hence the inertia of rotating masses are decoupled from the system by PE convertors). In case of reduced inertia systems there is also lack of experience with and understanding of system transient responses. A range of studies would need to be performed on these systems prior to attempting to estimate their dynamic signature in real time. These studies, carried out using realistic networks of different complexities and having different levels of penetration of non-synchronous generators, should result in “data base(s)” of typical responses depending on the type of study (angular stability, frequency stability, voltage stability, fault studies, etc.) and the level of penetration of different “inertia-less generation/storage technologies”. A threshold (for each type of study and inertia-less generation/storage technology mix) should be established beyond which further penetration of these new technology types would significantly affect system transient performance so that new system control approaches would need to be applied. In other words, the question “How far can we go with integration of inertia-less generation/storage technology in the network without having to change some aspect of system dynamic control and what is the extent of changes that need to be made depending on the level of penetration?”

- Design of supplementary area controllers based on WAMS to control and stabilise large system (including but not limited to real-time) or parts (which may vary) of it with uncertain power transfers and load models and stochastically varying and intermittent generation and demand.

- The key issue to consider here is the fact that there are already local controllers in the system (e.g., PSSs, OLTCs) and that they are going to continue to be present and perform allocated tasks. New controllers should be acting only when needed and in addition to existing local controllers and they should accommodate variability in controlled plant parameters. In controller design probabilistic and stochastic control methodologies should be explored and their performance compared (advantages vs. disadvantages) against established conventional techniques. The new area/system supplementary controllers do not need to be necessarily designed using probabilistic/stochastic control methodologies or artificial intelligence methods as long as they can perform allocated tasks.
- Possibility of having area controllers with variable number of inputs and outputs (the number of I/O may change depending on identified disturbance and area that needs to be controlled) should be explored and feasibility of these types of both off-line and on-line controllers explored.
- Design of hierarchical, adaptive control systems/structure for power networks with fully integrated sensing and ICT technologies. The consensus control, for example, may be an option considering potentially thousands of individual devices (including different generation, storage and load technologies) in the network and a number of existing or new local/area controllers.
- Modelling/analysis of efficient and effective integration of different energy carriers into self sufficient energy module/cell.
- Modelling of new types of PE interfaced generation, demand, storage, transmission and communication technologies (RES interface, HVDC, FACTS devices, PE interfaced loads, storage)
  - large interconnected networks with mixed generation, FACTS and short/long distance bulk power transfers using HVDC lines of different technologies
  - clusters of RES (generation and storage) of the same or different type
  - static and dynamic aggregate models for different types of studies with clear specification of modelling requirements and bounded parameter values
  - LV and MV distribution network cell (DNC) with thousands of RES
  - Demand, including new types of energy efficient and PE controlled loads, customer participation and behavioural patterns, EV, etc.
- Increased reliance on global (WAM) signals but also on global increase in network monitoring at all voltage levels, calling for
  - advanced steady state and dynamic state estimation (observability of the network), dynamic equivalents at different time scales and application for control & stability considering associated spatial and temporal uncertainties
  - efficient data management (signal capture, processing, aggregation, transmission) and analysis (clustering and classification techniques for knowledge extraction)
  - ICT network reliability and interaction with power network
  - Increased penetration of power electronic
- Increased uncertainties in controlled plant (system) both in terms of model uncertainties and operational uncertainties, calling for
  - robust, (self) adaptive control strategies and probabilistic plant modelling
  - probabilistic, risk based assessment of system operation both steady state and dynamic
  - assessment of system control/stability/power quality contribution by new types of generation/load/storage

---

## 4. SUMMARY

---

In summary, the future power networks need to be modelled and operated by exploiting possibilities offered by state-of-the-art WAMS, integrated ICT systems and “intelligent” PE devices and using non-deterministic & close to real time approaches for (energy) system control and operation; and stochastic, probabilistic and computer intelligence based models, data handling and methodologies to *minimise* the effect of uncertainties and *maximise* the use of information contained in available data. In order to facilitate a smooth transition to the efficient and secure operation of future power systems the following challenges should be addressed:

- design of supplementary controllers based on WAMS to control and stabilise large system (including but not limited to real-time) or parts of it (which may vary) with uncertain power transfers and load models and stochastically varying and intermittent generation, demand and storage – *stochastic/probabilistic control*
- design of new control systems/structure (*hierarchical, adaptive, close to real time*) for power networks with fully integrated sensing, ICT technologies and protection systems – *risk limiting control*

The extent and the timeline of the activities addressing modelling requirements specified above will depend on the type of studies that they are aiming at (planning, operation, control, etc.) The key requirement though is that in all cases as realistic as possible scenarios are used and that clear recommendations are given for different types of studies and different phenomena (faults, planning, angular and frequency stability, etc.) considered so that they can be transferred to industrial practice as soon as possible.

## 5. REFERENCES

- [1] P. McNabb and J. Bialek, "A priori transient stability indicator of islanded power systems using Extended Equal Area Criterion," in Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2012 IEEE, 2012, pp. 1-7.
- [2] G. Song, D. Wilson, S. Norris, and J. Bialek, "Increasing the available transmission capacity by using a dynamic transient stability limit," in Innovative Smart Grid Technologies (ISGT Europe), 2011 2nd IEEE PES International Conference and Exhibition on, 2011, pp. 1-7.
- [3] S. Norris, S. Guo, and J. Bialek, "Tracing of power flows applied to islanding," in Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2012 IEEE, 2012, pp. 1-8.
- [4] D. Lei, F. M. Gonzalez-Longatt, P. Wall, and V. Terzija, "Two-Step Spectral Clustering Controlled Islanding Algorithm," Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 28, pp. 75-84, 2013.
- [5] R. J. Sanchez-Garcia, M. Fennelly, S. Norris, N. Wright, G. Niblo, J. Brodzki, and J. W. Bialek, "Hierarchical Spectral Clustering of Power Grids," Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 29, pp. 2229-2237, 2014.
- [6] S. Hongbo and J. Bialek, "When to island in the controlled islanding scheme to prevent imminent wide-area blackouts," in Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), 2012 47th International, 2012, pp. 1-6.
- [7] S. Hongbo, S. Norris, L. Zhenzhi, and J. Bialek, "Determination of when to island by analysing dynamic characteristics in cascading outages," in PowerTech (POWERTECH), 2013 IEEE Grenoble, 2013, pp. 1-6.



- [8] L. Chengxi, S. Kai, Z. H. Rather, C. Zhe, C. L. Bak, P. Thogersen, and P. Lund, "A Systematic Approach for Dynamic Security Assessment and the Corresponding Preventive Control Scheme Based on Decision Trees," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 29, pp. 717-730, 2014.
- [9] G. Tingyan and J. V. Milanovic, "Probabilistic Framework for Assessing the Accuracy of Data Mining Tool for Online Prediction of Transient Stability," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 29, pp. 377-385, 2014.
- [10] P. McNabb, N. Bochkina, and J. Bialek, "Oscillation source location in power systems using logic regression," in *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference Europe (ISGT Europe), 2010 IEEE PES, 2010*, pp. 1-8.
- [11] P. McNabb, N. Bochkina, D. Wilson, and J. Bialek, "Oscillation source location using wavelet transforms and generalized linear models," in *Transmission and Distribution Conference and Exposition, 2010 IEEE PES, 2010*, pp. 1-9.
- [12] P. M. a. J. Bialek, "Dynamic Model Validation of the Icelandic power system using WAMS-based Measurement of Oscillatory Stability," in *16th PSCC, Glasgow, Scotland, 2008*.
- [13] J. W. B. D. Wilson, K. Hay, P. McNabb, "Identifying Sources of Damping Issues in the Icelandic Power Systems," in *16th Powers Systems Computation Conference (PSCC'08), Glasgow, Scotland., 2008*.
- [14] J. Turunen, J. Thambirajah, M. Larsson, B. C. Pal, N. F. Thornhill, L. C. Haarla, W. W. Hung, A. M. Carter, and T. Rauhala, "Comparison of Three Electromechanical Oscillation Damping Estimation Methods," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 26, pp. 2398-2407, 2011.
- [15] J. Thambirajah, N. F. Thornhill, and B. C. Pal, "A Multivariate Approach Towards Interarea Oscillation Damping Estimation Under Ambient Conditions Via Independent Component Analysis and Random Decrement," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 26, pp. 315-322, 2011.
- [16] D. S. Laila, A. R. Messina, and B. C. Pal, "A Refined Hilbert-Huang Transform With Applications to Interarea Oscillation Monitoring," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 24, pp. 610-620, 2009.
- [17] P. McNabb, D. Wilson, and J. Bialek, "Classification of mode damping and amplitude in power systems using synchrophasor measurements and classification trees," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 28, pp. 1988-1996, 2013.
- [18] X. Liu, D. McSwiggan, T. B. Littler, and J. Kennedy, "Measurement-based method for wind farm power system oscillations monitoring," *Renewable Power Generation, IET*, vol. 4, pp. 198-209, 2010.
- [19] B. C. P. E. Barocio, A.R Messina, "Modal Identification of Transient and Ambient Data Oscillations using Local Empirical Mode Decomposition and Teager-Kaiser Energy Operator," *IEEE Modal Identification Task Force 2011*, 2011.
- [20] C. Liu, Z. Chen, C. L. Bak, and Z. Liu, "Adaptive voltage stability protection based on load identification using Phasor Measurement Units," in *Advanced Power System Automation and Protection (APAP), 2011 International Conference on, 2011*, pp. 1246-1251.
- [21] A. K. Singh and B. C. Pal, "Decentralized Dynamic State Estimation in Power Systems Using Unscented Transformation," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 29, pp. 794-804, 2014.
- [22] G. Valverde, S. Chakrabarti, E. Kyriakides, and V. Terzija, "A Constrained Formulation for Hybrid State Estimation," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 26, pp. 1102-1109, 2011.
- [23] E. K. G. Valverde, V. Terzija, "A Nonlinear Approach for On-line Parameter Estimation of Synchronous Machines," in *17th Power Systems Computation Conference, Stockholm Sweden, 2011*.
- [24] G. Song and J. Bialek, "Synchronous machine inertia constants updating using Wide Area Measurements," in *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies (ISGT Europe), 2012 3rd IEEE PES International Conference and Exhibition on, 2012*, pp. 1-7.
- [25] P. Wall and V. Terzija, "Simultaneous Estimation of the Time of Disturbance and Inertia in Power Systems," *Power Delivery, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 29, pp. 2018-2031, 2014.
- [26] P. Wall, F. Gonzalez-Longatt, and V. Terzija, "Estimation of generator inertia available during a disturbance," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2012 IEEE, 2012*, pp. 1-8.
- [27] P. M. Ashton, C. S. Saunders, G. A. Taylor, A. M. Carter, and M. E. Bradley, "Inertia Estimation of the GB Power System Using Synchrophasor Measurements," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. PP, pp. 1-9, 2014.
- [28] Z. Yingchen, J. Bank, W. Yih-Huei, E. Muljadi, and D. Corbus, "Synchrophasor Measurement-Based Wind Plant Inertia Estimation," in *Green Technologies Conference, 2013 IEEE, 2013*, pp. 494-499.
- [29] V. Terzija, C. Deyu, V. Stanojevic, and G. Strbac, "Frequency and Power Components Estimation from Instantaneous Power Signal," *Instrumentation and Measurement, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 60, pp. 3640-3649, 2011.
- [30] V. Terzija, P. Regulski, L. P. Kunjumuhammed, B. C. Pal, G. Burt, I. Abdulhadi, T. Babnik, M. Osborne, and W. Hung, "FlexNet wide area monitoring system," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2011 IEEE, 2011*, pp. 1-7.
- [31] P. M. Ashton, G. A. Taylor, M. R. Irving, A. M. Carter, and M. E. Bradley, "Prospective Wide Area Monitoring of the Great Britain Transmission System using Phasor Measurement Units," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2012 IEEE, 2012*, pp. 1-8.
- [32] P. M. Ashton, G. A. Taylor, P. M. Ashton, A. M. Carter, and H. Renner, "Opportunities to exploit Phasor Measurement Units (PMUs) and synchrophasor measurements on the GB Transmission Network," in *Universities' Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), Proceedings of 2011 46th International, 2011*, pp. 1-6.

- [33] C. Deyu, P. Regulski, M. Osborne, and V. Terzija, "Wide Area Inter-Area Oscillation Monitoring Using Fast Nonlinear Estimation Algorithm," *Smart Grid, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 4, pp. 1721-1731, 2013.
- [34] G. A. Taylor, N. Hargreaves, P. Ashton, M. E. Bradley, A. Carter, and A. McMorran, "Potential integration of Phasor Measurement Units and Wide Area Monitoring Systems based upon National Grid enterprise level CIM," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting (PES), 2013 IEEE*, 2013, pp. 1-1.
- [35] L. P. Kunjumammed, B. C. Pal, and N. F. Thornhill, "A test system model for stability studies of UK power grid," in *PowerTech (POWERTECH), 2013 IEEE Grenoble*, 2013, pp. 1-6.
- [36] W. Murrell, L. Ran, and J. Wang, "Modelling UK power system frequency response with increasing wind penetration," in *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies - Asia (ISGT Asia), 2014 IEEE*, 2014, pp. 1-6.
- [37] X. Jun and A. Dysko, "UK transmission system modelling and validation for dynamic studies," in *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Europe (ISGT EUROPE), 2013 4th IEEE/PES*, 2013, pp. 1-5.
- [38] S. K. Kerahroudi, G. A. Taylor, L. Fan, and M. Bradley, "Initial development of a novel stability control system for the future GB transmission system operation," in *Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), 2013 48th International Universities'*, 2013, pp. 1-6.
- [39] S. K. Kerahroudi, A. F. Zobaa, G. A. Taylor, M. Bradley, and L. Yang, "Investigating the impact of stability constraints on the future GB transmission system," in *Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), 2012 47th International*, 2012, pp. 1-6.
- [40] S. K. Kerahroudi, G. A. Taylor, M. Bradley, and A. F. Zobaa, "A framework for coordinated stability control in the future GB transmission system using HVDC and power flow controller devices," in *AC and DC Power Transmission (ACDC 2012), 10th IET International Conference on*, 2012, pp. 1-6.
- [41] R. Rabbani, G. A. Taylor, and A. F. Zobaa, "Critical evaluation of reduced models for stability analysis on the GB transmission system," in *Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), 2012 47th International*, 2012, pp. 1-6.
- [42] R. Rabbani, A. F. Zobaa, and G. A. Taylor, "Applications of simplified models to investigate oscillation damping control on the GB transmission system," in *AC and DC Power Transmission (ACDC 2012), 10th IET International Conference on*, 2012, pp. 1-6.
- [43] M. S. Miranda and R. W. Dunn, "Spatially Correlated Wind Speed Modelling for Generation Adequacy Studies in the UK," in *Power Engineering Society General Meeting, 2007. IEEE*, 2007, pp. 1-6.
- [44] C. B. Dent, Janusz W.; Hasche, Bernhard; Keane, and Andrew, "Application of wind generation capacity credits in the Great Britain and Irish systems," 2010.
- [45] D. Eager, B. F. Hobbs, and J. W. Bialek, "Dynamic Modeling of Thermal Generation Capacity Investment: Application to Markets With High Wind Penetration," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 27, pp. 2127-2137, 2012.
- [46] I. Martinez Sanz, B. Chaudhuri, and G. Strbac, "Corrective control through HVDC links: A case study on GB equivalent system," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting (PES), 2013 IEEE*, 2013, pp. 1-5.
- [47] M. Yunfei, W. Jianzhong, J. Ekanayake, N. Jenkins, and J. Hongjie, "Primary Frequency Response From Electric Vehicles in the Great Britain Power System," *Smart Grid, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 4, pp. 1142-1150, 2013.
- [48] M. Qadrdan, W. Jianzhong, N. Jenkins, and J. Ekanayake, "Operating Strategies for a GB Integrated Gas and Electricity Network Considering the Uncertainty in Wind Power Forecasts," *Sustainable Energy, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 5, pp. 128-138, 2014.
- [49] C. J. Dent and J. W. Bialek, "Non-Iterative Method for Modeling Systematic Data Errors in Power System Risk Assessment," *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 26, pp. 120-127, 2011.
- [50] I. Martinez-Sanz, B. Chaudhuri, and G. Strbac, "Impact of wind penetration and HVDC upgrades on stability of the GB transmission system," in *Universities Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), 2012 47th International*, 2012, pp. 1-6.
- [51] V. Trovato, S. H. Tindemans, and G. Strbac, "Demand response contribution to effective inertia for system security in the GB 2020 gone green scenario," in *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Europe (ISGT EUROPE), 2013 4th IEEE/PES*, 2013, pp. 1-5.
- [52] Y. Pipelzadeh, R. Moreno, B. Chaudhuri, G. Strbac, and T. C. Green, "An assessment of Transient Assistive Measures using HVDC for special protection schemes: Case on the GB transmission system," in *AC and DC Power Transmission (ACDC 2012), 10th IET International Conference on*, 2012, pp. 1-6.
- [53] D. D. Banham-Hall, C. A. Smith, G. A. Taylor, and M. R. Irving, "Investigating the potential contribution of future offshore wind turbines to frequency stability during major system disturbances," in *AC and DC Power Transmission, 2010. ACDC. 9th IET International Conference on*, 2010, pp. 1-5.
- [54] P. M. Ashton, G. A. Taylor, and A. M. Carter, "Transient event detection and analysis of the GB transmission system using synchrophasor measurements," in *Power Engineering Conference (UPEC), 2013 48th International Universities'*, 2013, pp. 1-6.
- [55] A. Orths, J. Bialek, M. Callavik, J. De Decker, G. Grotterud, A. Hiorns, F. van Hulle, S. Klinge, W. Musial, and K. Rudion, "Connecting the Dots: Regional Coordination for Offshore Wind and Grid Development," *Power and Energy Magazine, IEEE*, vol. 11, pp. 83-95, 2013.
- [56] C. Meng, W. Jianzhong, J. Ekanayake, T. Coleman, W. Hung, and N. Jenkins, "Primary frequency response in the great Britain power system from dynamically controlled refrigerators," in *Electricity Distribution (CIRED 2013), 22nd International Conference and Exhibition on*, 2013, pp. 1-4.
- [57] A. Adamczyk, M. Altin, O. Goksu, R. Teodorescu, and F. Iov, "Generic 12-bus test system for wind power integration studies," in *Power Electronics and Applications (EPE), 2013 15th European Conference on*, 2013, pp. 1-6.

- [58] M. Scutariu, "Wind integration in small scale islanded power systems," in *PowerTech*, 2011 IEEE Trondheim, 2011, pp. 1-6.
- [59] A. M. Ewais, J. Liang, J. B. Ekanayake, and N. Jenkins, "Influence of Fully Rated Converter-based wind turbines on SSR," in *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies - Asia (ISGT Asia)*, 2012 IEEE, 2012, pp. 1-6.
- [60] A. Arulampalam, G. Ramtharan, J. B. Ekanayake, A. P. Tennakoon, S. G. Abeyratne, and N. Jenkins, "Fault Ride Through operation of a DFIG wind farm connected through VSC HVDC," in *Industrial and Information Systems (ICIIS)*, 2010 International Conference on, 2010, pp. 520-525.
- [61] D. C. Kong and X. P. Zhang, "Transient stability analysis and optimal coordinated control of multi-terminal VSC-HVDC based offshore wind farms," in *Renewable Power Generation (RPG 2011)*, IET Conference on, 2011, pp. 1-6.
- [62] L. Chengxi, C. Zhe, C. L. Bak, L. Zhou, P. Lund, and P. Ronne-Hansen, "Transient stability assessment of power system with large amount of wind power penetration: The Danish case study," in *IPEC*, 2012 Conference on Power & Energy, 2012, pp. 461-467.
- [63] C. E. Ugalde-Loo, J. B. Ekanayake, and N. Jenkins, "State-Space Modeling of Wind Turbine Generators for Power System Studies," *Industry Applications*, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 49, pp. 223-232, 2013.
- [64] N. R. E. L. (NREL), "WECC Wind Generator Development - Final Project Report," 2008.
- [65] B. C. Pal and F. Mei, "Modelling adequacy of the doubly fed induction generator for small-signal stability studies in power systems," *Renewable Power Generation*, IET, vol. 2, pp. 181-190, 2008.
- [66] F. M. a. B. C. Pal, "Steady state and small signal dynamic behaviours of doubly fed asynchronous generators," *CIGRE Special Task Force Publication on Modelling and Dynamic Behavior of Wind Generation as it Relates to Power System Control and Dynamic Performance*, C4.601, no 328, pp A1-A18, August 2007/2007.
- [67] L. Hongzhi and C. Zhe, "Impacts of large-scale offshore wind farm integration on power systems through VSC-HVDC," in *PowerTech (POWERTECH)*, 2013 IEEE Grenoble, 2013, pp. 1-5.
- [68] H. Lina and L. Chen-Ching, "Parameter Identification With PMUs for Instability Detection in Power Systems With HVDC Integrated Offshore Wind Energy," *Power Systems*, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 29, pp. 775-784, 2014.
- [69] Y. Liu, J. Liu, S. Zhang, G. Taylor, and Y. Liu, "An Investigation of Limit Induced Bifurcation in Dynamic Model of Wind Power System," in *Power and Energy Engineering Conference (APPEEC)*, 2011 Asia-Pacific, 2011, pp. 1-6.
- [70] P. Raboni and C. Zhe, "Reduction method for active distribution networks," in *PowerTech (POWERTECH)*, 2013 IEEE Grenoble, 2013, pp. 1-6.
- [71] W. Chengshan, Y. Hao, L. Peng, D. Chengdi, S. Chongbo, G. Xiaolong, Z. Fei, Z. Yilin, and Y. Zhaorong, "Krylov subspace based model reduction method for transient simulation of active distribution grid," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting (PES)*, 2013 IEEE, 2013, pp. 1-5.
- [72] J. V. Milanovic and S. Mat Zali, "Validation of Equivalent Dynamic Model of Active Distribution Network Cell," *Power Systems*, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 28, pp. 2101-2110, 2013.
- [73] P. N. Papadopoulos, T. A. Papadopoulos, P. Crolla, A. J. Roscoe, G. K. Papagiannis, and G. M. Burt, "Black-box dynamic equivalent model for microgrids using measurement data," *Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, IET, vol. 8, pp. 851-861, 2014.
- [74] G. Hao, P. Crossley, and V. Terzija, "Impact of battery energy storage system on dynamic properties of isolated power systems," in *PowerTech (POWERTECH)*, 2013 IEEE Grenoble, 2013, pp. 1-6.
- [75] V. Knap, R. Sinha, M. Swierczynski, D. I. Stroe, and S. Chaudhary, "Grid inertial response with Lithium-ion battery energy storage systems," in *Industrial Electronics (ISIE)*, 2014 IEEE 23rd International Symposium on, 2014, pp. 1817-1822.
- [76] T. N. Preda, K. Uhlen, and D. E. Nordgard, "Clustering Distributed Generation using the instantaneous Euclidean Distance in polar coordinates," in *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Europe (ISGT EUROPE)*, 2013 4th IEEE/PES, 2013, pp. 1-5.
- [77] J. C. Boemer, M. Gibescu, and W. L. Kling, "Dynamic models for transient stability analysis of transmission and distribution systems with distributed generation: An overview," in *PowerTech*, 2009 IEEE Bucharest, 2009, pp. 1-8.
- [78] M. Ali, I. S. Ilie, Milanovic, x, J. V., and G. Chicco, "Probabilistic clustering of wind generators," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, 2010 IEEE, 2010, pp. 1-6.
- [79] S. Eftekharnjad, V. Vittal, G. T. Heydt, B. Keel, and J. Loehr, "Impact of increased penetration of photovoltaic generation on power systems," *Power Systems*, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 28, pp. 893-901, 2013.
- [80] S. Eftekharnjad, V. Vittal, G. T. Heydt, B. Keel, and J. Loehr, "Small Signal Stability Assessment of Power Systems With Increased Penetration of Photovoltaic Generation: A Case Study," *Sustainable Energy*, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 4, pp. 960-967, 2013.
- [81] L. Haifeng, J. Licheng, D. Le, and A. A. Chowdhury, "Impact of high penetration of solar photovoltaic generation on power system small signal stability," in *Power System Technology (POWERCON)*, 2010 International Conference on, 2010, pp. 1-7.
- [82] N. G. Sakellaridis, J. C. Mantzaris, S. A. Papathanassiou, I. Vitellas, and C. D. Vournas, "Security assessment of an autonomous system with increased wind penetration and pumped storage hybrid plants," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting*, 2012 IEEE, 2012, pp. 1-8.
- [83] T. N. Preda, K. Uhlen, D. E. Nordgard, and T. Toftevaag, "External grid representation for assessing fault ride through capabilities of distributed generation units," in *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies (ISGT Europe)*, 2012 3rd IEEE PES International Conference and Exhibition on, 2012, pp. 1-9.
- [84] P. M. R. Almeida, J. A. Lopes, F. J. Soares, and M. H. Vasconcelos, "Automatic Generation Control operation with electric vehicles," in *Bulk Power System Dynamics and Control (iREP) - VIII (iREP)*, 2010 iREP Symposium, 2010, pp. 1-7.

- [85] J. R. Pillai and B. Bak-Jensen, "Integration of Vehicle-to-Grid in the Western Danish Power System," *Sustainable Energy, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 2, pp. 12-19, 2011.
- [86] J. R. Pillai and B. Bak-Jensen, "Vehicle-to-grid systems for frequency regulation in an Islanded Danish distribution network," in *Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference (VPPC), 2010 IEEE, 2010*, pp. 1-6.
- [87] E. Barocio, B. C. Pal, and A. R. Messina, "Real-time monitoring as enabler for smart transmission grids," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2011 IEEE, 2011*, pp. 1-8.
- [88] A. Nechifor, P. Regulski, C. Deyu, and V. Terzija, "Development of a flexible laboratory testing platform for assessing steady-state and transient performance of WAMS," in *Applied Measurements for Power Systems (AMPS), 2011 IEEE International Workshop on, 2011*, pp. 62-67.
- [89] N. F. Thornhill, D. Fabozzi, and B. C. Pal, "Monitoring and management of power transmission dynamics in an industrial smart grid," in *PowerTech (POWERTECH), 2013 IEEE Grenoble, 2013*, pp. 1-4.
- [90] P. Aristidou, D. Fabozzi, and T. Van Cutsem, "Exploiting localization for faster power system dynamic simulations," in *PowerTech (POWERTECH), 2013 IEEE Grenoble, 2013*, pp. 1-6.
- [91] K. R. W. Bell and A. N. D. Tleis, "Test system requirements for modelling future power systems," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2010 IEEE, 2010*, pp. 1-8.
- [92] H. Wihartady, R. Hutahaean, M. Popov, L. van der Sluis, and V. Terzija, "Dynamic out-of-step simulation and detection in 150 kV South Sulawesi system," in *Condition Monitoring and Diagnosis (CMD), 2012 International Conference on, 2012*, pp. 833-837.
- [93] A. Fuchs, M. Imhof, T. Demiray, and M. Morari, "Stabilization of Large Power Systems Using VSC-HVDC and Model Predictive Control," *Power Delivery, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 29, pp. 480-488, 2014.
- [94] A. Adamczyk, R. Teodorescu, F. Iov, and P. C. Kjar, "Evaluation of residue based power oscillation damping control of inter-area oscillations for static power sources," in *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2012 IEEE, 2012*, pp. 1-8.
- [95] A. Adamczyk, R. Teodorescu, and P. Rodriguez, "Control of Full-Scale Converter based Wind Power Plants for damping of low frequency system oscillations," in *PowerTech, 2011 IEEE Trondheim, 2011*, pp. 1-7.
- [96] N. Grid, "2013 Electricity Ten Year Statement," 2013.
- [97] N. Grid, "UK Future Energy Scenarios," 2014.
- [98] renewableUK, "Wind Energy in the UK State of the Industry Report 2012," 2012.
- [99] S. H. National Grid, The University of Manchester, "Visualisation of Real Time System Dynamics using Enhanced Monitoring –VISOR project."
- [100] OFGEM, "Smart Grid Vision and Routemap."
- [101] I. C. The Committee on Climate Change, Element Energy, "Infrastructure in a low-carbon energy system to 2030: Transmission and distribution Final report," 2014.
- [102] I. P. N. J. V. e. group, "Handling A Shock To The System Technical Report," 2013.
- [103] Atia Adrees and J.V. Milanovic, "Methodology for evaluation of risk of subsynchronous resonance in meshed compensated networks", *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, Vol. 29, No 2, 2014, pp. 815 – 823
- [104] R.Preece and J.V.Milanovi , " Risk-based Small-disturbance Security Assessment of Power Systems", accepted for publication in the *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, TPWRD-00904-2013 (17/1/14)
- [105] R.Preece, Kaijia Huang and J.V.Milanović, "Probabilistic small-disturbance stability assessment of uncertain power systems using efficient estimation methods", accepted for publication in the *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, TPWRS-01227-2013 (19/02/14)
- [106] R.Preece and J.V.Milanović, "Probabilistic Risk Assessment of Rotor Angle Instability Using Fuzzy Inference Systems", accepted for publication in the *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, TPWRS-01355-2013 (14/8/14)
- [107] R.Preece, N.C.Woolley and J.V.Milanović, "The Probabilistic Collocation Method for Power System Damping and Voltage Collapse Studies in the Presence of Uncertainties", *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, Vol. 28, No 3, 2013, pp. 2253-2262
- [108] J.M.Avendano-Mora and J.V.Milanović, "Monitor Placement for Reliable Estimation of Voltage Sags in Power Networks", *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, Vol. 27, No 2, 2012, pp. 936-944
- [109] N.C.Woolley, J.M.Avendano-Mora and J.V.Milanović, "Methodology for robust monitoring of voltage sags based on equipment trip probabilities", *Electric power systems Research*, Vol. 90, No. 1, 2012, pp 107-116.
- [110] N.C.Woolley and J.V.Milanović, "Statistical Estimation of the Source and Level of Voltage Unbalance in Distribution Networks", *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, Vol. 27, No 3, 2012, pp. 1450-1460
- [111] J.M.Avendano-Mora and J.V.Milanović, "Generalized formulation of the Optimal Monitor Placement Problem for Fault Location", *Electric Power Systems Research*, Vol. 93, No. 12, 2012, pp 120-126.
- [112] Z.Liu and J.V.Milanović, "Probabilistic Estimation of Voltage Unbalance in MV Distribution Networks with Unbalanced Load", accepted for publication in the *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, TPWRD-01283-2013 (5/5/14)
- [113] M.T.Au and J.V. Milanović, "Development of Stochastic Aggregate Harmonic Load Model Based on Field Measurements", *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, Vol 22, No. 1, 2007, pp. 323-330.
- [114] M.T.Au and J.V. Milanović, "Establishing Harmonic Distortion Level of Distribution Network Based on Stochastic Aggregate Harmonic Load Models", *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, Vol 22, No. 2, 2007, pp. 1086-1092.



The Institution of  
Engineering and Technology

## IET Offices

### London\*

Savoy Place  
2 Savoy Place  
London  
WC2R 0BL  
United Kingdom  
[www.theiet.org](http://www.theiet.org)

### Stevenage

Michael Faraday House  
Six Hills Way  
Stevenage Herts  
SG1 2AY  
United Kingdom  
**T:** +44 (0)1438 313311  
**F:** +44 (0)1438 765526  
**E:** [postmaster@theiet.org](mailto:postmaster@theiet.org)  
[www.theiet.org](http://www.theiet.org)

### Beijing

Suite G/10F  
China Merchants Tower  
No.118 Jianguo Road  
Chaoyang District  
Beijing China  
100022  
**T:** +86 10 6566 4687  
**F:** +86 10 6566 4647  
**E:** [china@theiet.org](mailto:china@theiet.org)  
[www.theiet.org.cn](http://www.theiet.org.cn)

### Hong Kong

4412-13 Cosco Tower  
183 Queen's Road  
Central  
Hong Kong  
**T:** +852 2521 2140  
**F:** +852 2778 1711

### Bangalore

Unit No 405 & 406  
4th Floor, West Wing  
Raheja Towers  
M. G. Road  
Bangalore 560001  
India  
**T:** +91 80 4089 2222  
**E:** [india@theiet.in](mailto:india@theiet.in)  
[www.theiet.in](http://www.theiet.in)

### New Jersey

379 Thornall Street  
Edison NJ 08837  
USA  
**T:** +1 (732) 321 5575  
**F:** +1 (732) 321 5702

## IET Venues

### IET London: Savoy Place\*

London  
**T:** +44 (0) 207 344 5479  
[www.ietvenues.co.uk/savoyplace](http://www.ietvenues.co.uk/savoyplace)

### IET Birmingham: Austin Court

Birmingham  
**T:** +44 (0)121 600 7500  
[www.ietvenues.co.uk/austincourt](http://www.ietvenues.co.uk/austincourt)

### IET Glasgow: Teacher Building

Glasgow  
**T:** +44 (0)141 566 1871  
[www.ietvenues.co.uk/teacherbuilding](http://www.ietvenues.co.uk/teacherbuilding)

\*Savoy Place will be closed for refurbishment from summer 2013 until autumn 2015. During this time IET's London home will be within the Institution of Mechanical Engineers building at:

1 Birdcage Walk  
Westminster  
London  
SW1H 9JJ

If you are attending an event during this period, please check the venue details carefully.

[www.theiet.org](http://www.theiet.org)